Annex B - Form for providing respondents' feedback on proposed changes

Proposed change No. ∗

Proposed change No. A.1.5

Respondent's view ∗

According to the proposal when a market participant report a transaction in Table 1 schema and some contracts may not have a "Total notional contract quantity" value, i.e. index trades, this field can be reported with a mock value e.g. 9999999.999.

1. **Question:** When there is an indexed-price transaction at the time of reporting the "Total notional contract quantity" value is definable, but the no. 35 "Price" is not. Is it possible that this was a mistake and this proposal refers to the "Price" or an other field?

2. **Question:** In a description of our RRM (KELER Ltd. B00009813.HU) suggests when there is an indexed-price transaction and we report in Table 1 we should write zero (0) to the field no. 38 "Notional amount".
If the "Proposed change No. A.1.5" is correct and refers to "Total notional contract quantity", will we have to be reported zero (0) or mock value (e.g. 9999999.999) for "Notional Amount"?

   In "Open letter on REMIT transaction reporting data quality (page 4) – Notional Amount reported with zero or not reported": Zero values for Notional Amount have been reported or values have not been reported at all. E.g. Trade with indexed-price do we need to report it without Notional Amount?

   Please clear the **proposed change No. A.1.5**, because we do not know how we should report these kinds of deals correctly.

Thank you very much in advance!
Best regards,
Hungarian Gas Trade Ltd.

∗ **Mandatory field. The feedback may not be considered if a mandatory field is left blank.**

Annex C - Form for providing additional changes and comments

Data type	Table 4
Impacted field(s)	TRUM Data Field No (35) Price the transferee pays to the transferor. This field corresponds to the field TRANSFER_PRICE.AMOUNT in the schema. **SECONDARY MARKET TRANSACTION** According to description, the price which the transferee pays to the transferor expressed in the currency per measure unit must be: **kWh/h**.
Description of your change proposal/Other comment	The price cannot be expressed only in kWh/h in case of transportation. The description in the TRUM shall be more accurate in case of transportation fee as the fee can be EUR/kWh or EUR/kWh/h/d or EUR/kWh/h/runtime . Example:
Motivation for the change	The partners are not in the same position in case of the format of the price in the schema Table 4 Data filed number (35). It would be important to describe in the TRUM in more detailed way the possible formats of the fee related to the transportation.